Nurse-led interventions for multimorbidity: a mixed-methods systematic review

Chris McParland^{1,2}, Professor Bridget Johnston^{1,2}, Dr Mark Cooper^{2,1} ¹ University of Glasgow, School of Medicine, Dentistry and Nursing ² NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde

Abstract

We conducted a mixed-methods systematic review of the literature to establish what the effective elements were of nurse-led care for people with multimorbidity (having two or more chronic conditions). We found that most interventions were variations on case-management-type interventions, and that while these interventions were well-received by patients and clinicians, there was inconsistent evidence to support their impact on healthcare utilisation, mortality and other important outcomes.

PROSPERO ID: CRD42020197956





c.mcparland.1@research.gla.ac.uk - 1



Background

- Multimorbidity refers to the state of having two or more chronic conditions.
- It is very common in people with palliative care needs
- This review is focused on general multimorbidity, rather than any specific clusters of (comorbid) diseases.
- Multimorbidity affects around 20-25% of people in advanced age and socioeconomic deprivation
- Nurse-led interventions for multimorbidity have been evaluated systematically before, but often these incorporate findings from studies which target comorbidity clusters and may not be transferrable to generally multimorbid populations

Methods & Aim

- This study aims to identify (1) which nurse-led interventions there are for multimorbidity, and (2) which outcomes are improved by these interventions
- Cochrane CENTRAL, CINAHL, Embase, MEDLINE searched from inception to Oct 2020
- OpenGrey, Journal of comorbidity and reference mining provided grey literature
- Joanna Briggs Institute methodology for mixed-methods reviews followed
- 28 reports of 20 studies included, mostly (n=15) quantitative

University of Glasgow

c.mcparland.1@research.gla.ac.uk - 2

Intervention components and intervention type

- Adapted Cochrane EPOC taxonomy¹ used to classify interventions. 2-level classification:
 - **Components** = identifiable parts of interventions but not necessarily the overall type
 - **Overall type** = category which most adequately describes the overall intervention



Top 10 intervention 'components'

niversitv

1. EFFECTIVE PRACTICE AND ORGANISATION OF CARE (EPOC). 2015. EPOC Taxonomy [Online]. Cochrane Collaboration. Available: https://epoc.cochrane.org/epoc-taxonomy [Accessed June 2021].

c.mcparland.1@research.gla.ac.uk - 3

Impact on outcomes

Core Outcome Set for Multimorbidity Research¹ (COSmm) used as a framework for classification of outcomes

	Case-management	Transitional care	Supported self- management	Nurse-led interdisciplinary team	ICT interventions
HRQoL	Improved	Improved	Mixed		
Mental health	Mixed		Unaffected		
Mortality	Mixed	Mixed			
Self-rated health		Improved			
Self-management behaviour	*Improved		Mixed		1.00
Self-efficacy	61-111	Improved	Unaffected		
Caregiver burden/support	Mixed				
Pain	Improved				
Activities of daily living	Improved				
Physical function	Mixed	No. 1			
Physiological measures					Mixed
Disease management	*Improved				
Nutrition	Improved				
Falls risk	Unaffected				
Communication	*Improved	*Mixed			
Prioritisation	Improved				
Trust and advocacy	*Improved				
Health care use	Mixed	Improved			
Costs	Mixed	Mixed	Mixed		32
Quality of health care (patient-rated)	Improved	*Mixed			
Quality of health care (nurse/physician rated)	Mixed				
Case-finding	Mixed			Mixed	

either case-management, or employed components of nursing case-management (such as supporting selfmanagement or smoothing care transitions). The qualitative and PROMs evidence supporting these interventions suggests they are agreeable to patients and clinicians. Costneutrality was evident in some interventions, and transitional care was able to evidence a reduction in short-term healthcare utilisation.



1. SMITH, S. M., WALLACE, E., SALISBURY, C., SASSEVILLE, M., BAYLISS, E. & FORTIN, M. 2018. A Core Outcome Set for Multimorbidity Research (COSmm). The Annals of Family Medicine, 16, 132-138.

c.mcparland.1@research.gla.ac.uk - 4

Conclusion

Most interventions were