## An Audit of Hospital Anticipatory Care Planning in Oncology during the 2020 COVID-19 Pandemic

Dr Jennifer L Wood<sup>1</sup>, Dr Charlie C Hall<sup>1</sup>, Dr Angela Bentley.<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup> NHS Lothian

**Abbreviated abstract:** New Hospital Anticipatory Care Plans (HACPs) were introduced during the COVID-19 pandemic. We assessed HACPs in oncology in-patients during May 2020 (peak pandemic) and August 2020 (new normal). HACP completion, treatment escalation documentation and accessing community Key Information Summary (KIS)/Emergency Care Summary (ECS) was examined. Suggested improvements include using significant information tab on TRAK, embedding escalation discussions into first consultant review and moving towards a national anticipatory care planning approach for greater clarity and consistency.

#### **Related publications:**

-Hall, CC. *et al, BMJ Supportive & Palliative Care,* 2019;**9**:1-11 -Hall, CC. *et al, BMJ Open Quality,* 2017;**6**:e000114.





# Aims, Background + Methods

**Audit Aim:** To audit completion of Hospital Anticipatory Care Plans (HACP); documentation of treatment escalation; as well as access to community ACPs (KIS/ ECS) in Oncology Dept during May/Jun '20 ('first wave') and subsequent period Aug/Sept '20 ('new normal').

#### **Background:**

During the COVID-19 pandemic, new HACP guidance was released which aimed to:

- Provide continuity of care and good communication especially for oncall staff out of hours.
- Provide information about, as well as appropriate limitations to, interventions which are likely to be FUTILE AND / OR BURDENSOME OR CONTRARY TO THE PATIENT'S WISHES. <u>Interventions in these</u> <u>categories are unethical</u>.

-To **MINIMISE HARM** due to overtreatment or under treatment.

-To provide for shared decision-making as much as possible in the context of acute or acute-on-chronic illness.

#### Method:

Retrospective audit of case records using the electronic notes on TRAK+ SCI store.

**Audit standards:** 100% of HACP should be filled in during patients admission (and the same for KIS and ECS access).

#### **Population:**

20 consecutively admitted patients via Cancer Assessment Unit from May 1st 2020 and 20 from Aug 1st 2020.

**Exclusions:** admission < 48 hours/,elective admissions / procedures.





Lothian

#### **Summary of findings:**

#### HACP

-25% of patients had no clear escalation status during admission

#### KIS access low compared to number of KIS available

-KIS availability consistently 75-80% of Oncology patients

-KIS content variable but may include important clinical info

## ECS access higher but variable

- Does not include full KIS info

## **Significant Information**

Highly valued by Junior Drs for clarity/ ease of access -More often done when patient ending active Rx -Scope for consistent use in tandem with HACP

## Conclusions

Our results suggest HACPs and escalation plans have not been consistently embedded into routine clinical practice.

### **Future work:**

- 1. Support HACP use during the first consultant review after admission and for it to be regularly updated.
- 2. To use the significant information box in TRAK to clearly flag significant conversations and escalation plans. This has been incorporated into our junior doctor's induction.
- 3. The appointment of the Lothian ACP Lead and / or a move towards a national ACP approach (eg RESPECT) may lead to greater clarity and consistency in the use of HACPs.

